## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA HAMMOND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		)	
		)	
	Plaintiff,	)	
	v.	)	CAUSE NO.: 2:08-CR-11-RL
		)	
ROBERT JAMES DAVIS,		)	
	Defendant.	)	

## FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE UPON A PLEA OF GUILTY BY DEFENDANT ROBERT JAMES DAVIS

TO: THE HONORABLE RUDY LOZANO, JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Upon Defendant Robert James Davis' request to enter a plea of guilty pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, this matter came for hearing before Magistrate Judge Paul R. Cherry, on November 4, 2008, with the consent of Defendant Robert James Davis, counsel for Defendant Robert James Davis, and counsel for the United States of America.

The hearing on Defendant Robert James Davis' plea of guilty was in full compliance with Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, before the Magistrate Judge in open court and on the record.

In consideration of that hearing and the statements made by Defendant Robert James Davis under oath on the record and in the presence of counsel, the remarks of the Assistant United States Attorney and of counsel for Defendant Robert James Davis,

## I FIND as follows:

- (1) that Defendant Robert James Davis understands the nature of the charge against him to which the plea is offered;
- (2) that Defendant Robert James Davis understands his right to trial by jury, to persist in his plea of not guilty, to the assistance of counsel at trial, to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses,

and his right against compelled self-incrimination;

(3) that Defendant Robert James Davis understands what the maximum possible sentence is,

including the effect of the supervised release term, and Defendant Robert James Davis understands that

the sentencing guidelines apply and that the Court may depart from those guidelines under some

circumstances;

(4) that the plea of guilty by Defendant Robert James Davis has been knowingly and voluntarily

made and is not the result of force or threats or of promises;

(5) that Defendant Robert James Davis is competent to plead guilty;

(6) that Defendant Robert James Davis understands that his answers may later be used against

him in a prosecution for perjury or false statement;

(7) that there is a factual basis for Defendant Robert James Davis' plea; and further,

I RECOMMEND that the Court accept Robert James Davis' plea of guilty to the offense

charged in Count 2 of the Indictment and that Defendant Robert James Davis be adjudged guilty of the

offense charged in Count 2 of the Indictment and have sentence imposed. A Presentence Report has

been ordered. Should this Report and Recommendation be accepted and Defendant Robert James

Davis be adjudged guilty, sentencing will be held **January 21, 2009, at 12:30 p.m.** in the Hammond

Division, before Judge Rudy Lozano. Objections to the Findings and Recommendation are waived

unless filed and served within ten (10) days. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

So ORDERED this 5th day of November, 2008.

s/ Paul R. Cherry

MAGISTRATE JUDGE PAUL R. CHERRY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

All counsel of record cc: Honorable Rudy Lozano